

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/728,357	DOUCETTE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joanne Hama, Ph.D.	1632	

All Participants:

(1) Joanne Hama, Ph.D.

(2) Mr. Patrick Pacella.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-20

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner called Mr. Pacella to indicate claim and specification amendments, see Examiner's Amendment, attached. Mr. Pacella approved the amendments.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

DAVE TRONG NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)